

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY HOYT, )  
 )  
Plaintiff(s), ) No. C10-1778 RS (BZ)  
 )  
v. )  
 ) **THIRD DISCOVERY ORDER**  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN )  
FRANCISCO, et al., )  
 )  
Defendant(s). )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Following a telephone conference on June 23, 2011, at which both sides were represented by counsel, for the reasons stated during the conference, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:

1. By **Monday, June 27, 2011**, defendant shall review the transcript of Mr. Juen's statement and produce to plaintiff any portions of the statement concerning what he heard plaintiff say about pain.

2. Plaintiff's request to compel defendant to answer how many "complaints [were] received during the last 10 years concerning the use of force where no incident report was created" is **DENIED**. Plaintiff's request to compel defendant to answer how many officers were disciplined for using wrist

1 locks during the last 10 years is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED**  
2 **IN PART**. By **June 12, 2011**, defendant shall answer this  
3 interrogatory for the calendar year period predating the  
4 incident. If needed, plaintiff shall have **five days** after  
5 receiving plaintiff's answer to amend his expert disclosure on  
6 this issue.

7 This Order resolves the issues raised in plaintiff's  
8 letter motion (Docket No. 65) and defendant's opposition  
9 (Docket No. 68).

10 Dated: June 23, 2011

11 

12 Bernard Zimmerman  
United States Magistrate Judge

13  
14 G:\BZALL\REFS\HOYT V. CITY & CTY.S.F\DISC ORD 3.wpd  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28